

Traffic Calming Measures in Highway Work Zones (A Before and After Study)

Geetam Tiwari

Professor, **TRIPP&** Department of Civil

Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

& Sumeet Gupta

Research Scholar, Department of Civil Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Delhi

4 October 2013, Greater Noida, India

8th IRF Regional Conference

Work Zone fatalities - Globally

- Netherlands : 16% of total fatalities are on Dutch motorways of which 39% are in a Work Zone (SWOV 2005)
- USA :3 times higher accident risk on workzones (www.workzonesafety.org/crash_data/workzone_fatalities)
- INDIA Work zones accident statistics are not well documented. With increasing road construction activity in India, high risk of work zone fatalities

Work Zone Fatalities - Globally

- USA Annual work zone fatalities rose from 872 in 1999 to 1,028 in 2003 (FHWA, 2004)
- **Finland** Work-related road crashes caused **28.5%** of traffic deaths in Finland from 2001-2005
- India Results of the Safety Audit done by IIT Delhi (2010) in work zones on NH 28

Site	Length	Duration	🖌 Fatal 🔪	Non-	Fatal
No.	(km)	(month)		fatal	accident/month/km
1	36.75	15	24	112	0.044
5	44	17	38	100	0.051
7	39.2	19	32	202	0.043
8	41.115	18	28	20	0.038
10	38	19	22	38	0.030
11	36	18	12	26	0.019
12	40	18	11	59	0.015

With increasing road construction activity (NHDP project) in India, high risk of work zone fatalities

Speed Management at Work Zones

- Benekohal and Wang (1994) compared the speeds of vehicles in advance warning zone and the construction zone;
- the vehicles moving at higher initial speeds reduced their speeds more than did vehicles with lower initial speeds in the construction work zone,
- however kept higher speeds in the work zone

Work Zone Crashes: Research Review

Deaths and injuries at work zones are caused by a variety of factors (Lindly et al. 2002)

speeding traffic

- inadequate visibility of signs
- poor road surface condition
- inadequate traffic control
- improper management of material
- equipment, and personnel in work zones
- not paying attention to work zone signs or flaggers indicating slow down
- distraction by cellular phone calls, conversations and activities at roadside
- "Appropriate speed" at work zones has been identified by many researchers as one of the most important factors 5

What impacts Work Zone speeds?

- Static signs were ineffective at reducing speeds unless construction activity was in place (Bham et al., 2011).
- Speed limit signs are not only ineffective, they can make drivers skeptical of the validity of signs posted at other WZs in case of no construction activity in long WZs (Outcalt, 2009).

Objectives of the study

- To determine the speed characteristics of vehicles in Advance Warning Zone (AWZ), Working Zone (WZ) and Terminal Transition Zone (TTZ) before and after the installation of Active traffic calming measures like Rumble strips on NHs
- To estimate speed limit compliance in WZs using Active traffic calming measures in work zones
- To determine the effectiveness of different Warning sign configuration (shape and colour) in Work Zones

Experiment – 1 Pilot Study on NH-8 (Delhi-Jaipur section)

• The study was done at 8 sites on National Highway 8 (NH-8) between Delhi and Jaipur in January 2012, the characteristics of which are

Site	Chainage	Date	Time	Length of WZ (m)
1.	84+640 km to 85+900 km	23/1/2012	10:50 am - 12:10 pm	1240
2.	86+140 km to 84+420 km	23/1/2012	1:00 pm – 2:10 pm	1720
3.	99+020 km to 100+210 km	23/1/2012	4:20 pm - 5:25 pm	1200
4.	100+120 km to 99+01 km	23/1/2012	5:45 pm – 7:35 pm	1120
5.	139+550 km to 138+410 km	24/1/2012	10:00 am - 11:05 am	1140
6.	139+070 km to 139+720 km	24/1/2012	11:20 am - 12:35 pm	1650
7.	181+400 km to 180+740 km	24/1/2012	2:35 pm – 3:35 pm	1660
8.	181+010 km to 181+680 km	24/1/2012	3:45 pm - 4:50 pm	670

- Common characteristics for all sites
 - Widening of the existing four lanes into six lanes was being done.
 - Due to construction activity, only **two** lanes were opened to the traffic in WZ whereas in AWZ and TTZ, all the **three** lanes were opened to the traffic.
 - The normal regulatory speed limit of AWZ and WZ was **40 km/h** at all the sites.
 - Only **Passive traffic calming measures** like signages, New Jersey Crash Barriers, Metal Barricades, solar flashers, etc. were present
 - Mostly non-standard signs and barricades (not following IRC:SP:55:2001)

Non-standard signs and barricades





Mean speeds in Traffic Control Zones

Posted speed limit = 40 km/h



Highest speeds by Cars followed by LCVs and Motorized 2-Wheelers
No difference in speeds in Advance Warning, Working and Terminal Transition zones

Mean speeds in Traffic Control Zones

Posted speed limit = 40 km/h



Highest speeds by Cars followed by LCVs and Motorized 2-Wheelers
No difference in speeds in Advance Warning, Working and Terminal Transition zones

85th %ile speeds in Working Zone

Posted speed limit = 40 km/h



 $> 85^{th}$ percentile speeds of all vehicle types are much higher than the posted speed limit of 40 km/h in WZ at all the selected sections (varies from 42-84 km/h)

% vehicles exceeding posted speed limit in WZ

Posted speed limit = 40 km/h



□ >90% of Cars and >50% of Motorized 2-wheelers, LCVs & Trucks exceeded the posted speed limit of 40 km/h in WZ at all the selected sections

Experiment - 2

- For this study, three sites were selected on NH-8 (Delhi-Jaipur section), the characteristics of which are given below-
 - WZ Type-1 (Ch.144) Baseline condition where the triangular warning signs with white background, red border and black symbols were installed by the concessionaire
 - WZ Type-2 (Ch.162) Triangular warning signs with **yellow background**, red border and black symbols
 - WZ Type-3 (Ch.168) Diamond warning signs with orange background, black border, black symbols, black font (representing the meaning of the sign) and Rumble Strips
- Except warning signs and diversion signs, all other type of signs for WZ Type-2 and WZ Type-3 were as per specifications of IRC:67-2012 "Code of Practice for Road Signs (Third Revision)".
- Speed studies were carried out at WZ Type-2 (Ch.162) and WZ Type-3 (Ch.168) to compare Passive and Active measures respectively.

WZ Type-1 (Traffic signs installed by concessionaire) Daytime







Nighttime







WZ Type-2 (Triangular warning signs with YELLOW background)





Nighttime

1 1 2





WZ Type-3 (Diamond warning signs with ORANGE background)

Daytime



Nighttime









Site Characteristics

Chainage	Date	Time	Length of WZ (m)
		11:50 am – 12:50 pm	
162	19/4/2013	05:50 pm - 06:50 pm	1000
	20/4/2013	08:30 am - 09:45 am	
		11:25 am - 01:25 pm	
	8/6/2013	04:00 pm – 05:40 pm	
		08:45 am – 10:45 am	
168	9/6/2013	01:40 pm – 03:40 pm	1020
		04:15 pm – 05:45 pm	
		08:00 am - 10:00 am	
	10/6/2013	12:00 pm - 01:00 pm	
		02:15 pm - 04:15 pm	

- Common characteristics for all sites
 - ➢ Widening of the existing four lanes into six lanes was being done.
 - Due to construction activity, only two lanes were opened to the traffic in
 WZ whereas in AWZ and TTZ, all the three lanes were opened to the traffic.
 - ➢ The normal regulatory speed limit of AWZ and WZ was 30 km/h at all the sites.

Rumble Strip specifications

- **Height** 0.025 m
- **Width** 0.5 m
- C/C gap between two rumble strips – 0.9 m
- Material Bitumen
- Each set has 3 Rumble strips



 3 sets of Rumble Strips were installed at WZ Type-3 (Ch.168) as shown in Traffic Management Plan (TMP)

<u>168.jpg</u>



Mean speeds in Traffic Control Zones at Ch.162 (Only Passive measures)

Day-1 (19 April 2013)





1750-1850

Posted speed limit = 30 km/h



Highest speeds by Cars followed by LCVs and Motorized 2-Wheelers
No difference in speeds in Advance Warning, Working and Terminal Transition zones

85th %ile speeds in Traffic Control Zones at Ch.162 (Only Passive measures)

Day-1 (19 April 2013)

Posted speed limit = 30 km/h

1150-1250

1750-1850



> 85th percentile speeds of all vehicle types are much higher than the posted speed limit of 30 km/h in WZ at all the selected sections

ANOVA Results for Ch.162 (Only Passive measures)

S.No.	Mode	F- value	P-value
1	M2W	22.127	0.279
2	Car	61.180	0.345
3	LCV	32.235	0.230
4	2-Axle Truck	18.000	0.140
5	Multi-Axle Truck	15.443	0.132

*NO significant difference in speeds of vehicles in AWZ, WZ and TTZ for all type of vehicles.

Mean speeds in Traffic Control Zones at Ch.168 (Both Active & Passive measures)



26



85th %ile speeds in Working Zone at Ch.168 (Both Active & Passive measures)

Posted speed limit = 30 km/h





> Drop in 85th percentile speeds of all vehicle types (varies from 36-56 km/h)

% vehicles exceeding posted speed limit in WZ at Ch.168 (Both Active & Passive measures)



Posted speed limit = 30 km/h



Drop in the percentage of vehicles exceeding speed limit

Variation of Speeds before and after Rumble Strips at Ch.168 (Working Zone)



Reduction in speeds 50m before and after Rumble strips for all vehicle groups

ANOVA Results for Ch.168 (Both Active & Passive measures)

Date: 8 June 2013

Time: 11:25-13:25

S.No.	Mode	F- value	P-value
1	M2W	8.576	0.000
2	Car	30.394	0.000
3	LCV	33.888	0.000
4	Truck	33.465	0.000

Time: 16:00-17:40

S.No.	Mode	F- value	P-value
1	M2W	8.293	0.000
2	Car	31.325	0.000
3	LCV	32.602	0.000
4	Truck	33.289	0.000

*significant difference in speeds of vehicles in AWZ, WZ and TTZ for all type of vehicles.

ANOVA Results for Ch.168 (Both Active & Passive measures)

Date: 9 June 2013

Time: 08:45-10:45

S.No.	Mode	F- value	P-value
1	M2W	9.365	0.000
2	Car	30.412	0.000
3	LCV	31.256	0.000
4	Truck	33.752	0.000

Time: 13:40-15:40

S.No.	Mode	F- value	P-value
1	M2W	7.521	0.000
2	Car	31.931	0.000
3	LCV	34.856	0.000
4	Truck	31.486	0.000

*significant difference in speeds of vehicles in AWZ, WZ and TTZ for all type of vehicles.

ANOVA Results for Ch.168 (Both Active & Passive measures)

Date: 10 June 2013

Time: 08:00-10:00

S.No.	Mode	F- value	P-value
1	M2W	9.215	0.000
2	Car	33.256	0.000
3	LCV	33.027	0.000
4	Truck	34.523	0.000

Time: 12:00-13:00

S.No.	Mode	F- value	P-value
1	M2W	8.685	0.000
2	Car	30.437	0.000
3	LCV	32.369	0.000
4	Truck	34.812	0.000

*significant difference in speeds of vehicles in AWZ, WZ and TTZ for all type of vehicles.

COMPARISON (Daytime)

WZ Type-1

WZ Type-2





WZ Type-3



COMPARISON (Nighttime)

WZ Type-1



WZ Type-2



WZ Type-3



Conclusions & Recommendations

- Passive traffic calming measures like signages, road markings, cones, barricades, etc. implemented in work zones on NH-8 had no significant effect in reducing the speed of vehicles in WZs.
- Active Traffic calming devices show considerable potential for reducing speeds and improving work zone speed limit compliance.
- Impact of colour and shape may influence legibility of workzones